



*Adaptive versus Neutral Markers:
Units of Conservation*

Fred Allendorf







Conservation Genetics Joke

What did Custer say when he left South Dakota before the battle of the Little Bighorn in Montana?

Conservation Genetics Trivia



What is the correct term for a word or phrase that reads the same forward and backward, as in: “rats live on no evil star”?





Allele genealogy (coalescence)

R_{ST} is an analogue of F_{ST} that takes the relative size of alleles (i.e., allelic state) into consideration.



Adaptive versus Neutral Markers: Units of Conservation

Fred Allendorf







Setting priorities for conservation efforts




Preserving the Tree of Life

Georgina M. Mace,¹ John L. Gittleman,² Andy Purvis³

Science 300:1707-1709. (2003)

Fig. 1. Loss of species leads to loss of evolutionary history. In this example phylogeny, species A to F each have some unique (colored) and some shared (black) evolutionary history. Extinction of any one species leads to the loss of the unique history it represents. In this case, loss of species A is the most serious.





The contemporary view of a "Living Fossil"

- Order Sphenodontia
- 1 or 2 extant species
- Lineage originated > 225 MYA
- Extinct following the K-T event (65 MYA) except in New Zealand



Why do tuatara and other living fossils matter?

- A window to the past
- A glimpse of the possibilities of life
- As good as finding a living dinosaur




Very distinctive biology

- No penis or hemipenes in male
- Uncinate processes on ribs
- Amphicoelous vertebrae
- Unique hemoglobin
- Fully diapsid skull




Lengthy life history



Annual adult survivorship > 0.95

Highly territorial and philopatric

Life history characters of *S. guntheri*




Sexually dimorphic with TSD



Tuatara have **temperature-dependent** sex determination



% male hatchlings




How might climate change affect hatchling **sex ratios**?




Prediction for Cook Strait: in 50 years
0.65°C increase in mean temperature

% male hatchlings




The distribution of tuatara




Estimated tuatara population sizes (Recovery Plan 1993).

<i>N</i>	<i>No. islands</i>
<100	12 (40%)
100-1,000	14 (47%)
1,000-10,000	3 (10%)
30,000	1 (3%)



North Brother Island


- Only natural population of *S. guntheri*
- 4 ha
- 350 adults
- 63% male




North Brother Island recognized as separate species

Low genetic divergence obscures phylogeny among populations of *Sphenodon*, remnant of an ancient reptile lineage

Jennifer M. Hay,^{a*} Charles H. Daugherty,^b Alison Cree,^c and Linda R. Maxson^d





North Brothers have extremely low variation at microsatellite loci.



Remember: Genetic drift has two primary effects on the genetic composition of populations:

- (1) Change in allele frequencies.
- (2) Loss of genetic variation.

The small N_e of North Brother Island tuatara apparently has caused (1) an increase in genetic divergence and (2) the loss of substantial genetic variation.



Is the North Brother Island population of tuatara a separate species worthy of special protection or a small, inbred isolate of relatively low conservation priority?

What did Congress mean by a DPS?

Waples, R.S. 1991. Pacific salmon, *Oncorhynchus* spp., and the definition of "species" under the ESA. Marine Fisheries Review 53:11-22.

A stock of Pacific salmon is considered a DPS if it represents an evolutionarily significant unit (ESU) of a biological species. A stock must satisfy two criteria to be considered an ESU:

- (1) It must be substantially reproductively isolated from other conspecific population units; and
- (2) It must represent a significant component in the evolutionary legacy of the species.

NMFS DPS policy

Isolation does not have to be complete but must allow evolutionary important differences to accrue.

Neutral genetic markers are proxies for adaptive differences.


However, local natural selection can maintain important adaptive differences between populations even when there is substantial gene flow as indicated with molecular markers.



Listed as Endangered in 1991






Redfish Lake sockeye salmon



Sockeye Salmon
Oncorhynchus nerka

Kokanee





NMFS DPS policy

Isolation does not have to be complete but must allow evolutionary important differences to accrue.

Neutral genetic markers are proxies for adaptive differences.

However, local natural selection can maintain important adaptive differences between populations even when there is substantial gene flow as indicated with molecular markers.

Local adaptation


	mN					N
	0.5	1	2	5	10	
Expected	0.3333	0.2000	0.1111	0.0476	0.0244	0.0099
$t=0.00$	0.3070	0.2043	0.1245	0.0418	0.0198	25
	0.3350	0.1826	0.1077	0.0484	0.0264	50
	0.3216	0.1884	0.1061	0.0437	0.0251	100
$t=0.01$	0.3343	0.1703	0.1070	0.0556	0.0220	25
	0.2979	0.1192	0.1000	0.0381	0.0256	50
	0.2997	0.1850	0.1146	0.0354	0.0229	100
$t=0.05$	0.3560	0.1857	0.1204	0.0497	0.0217	25
	0.4618	0.2679	0.1489	0.0550	0.0265	50
	0.5950	0.4230	0.1982	0.0632	0.0207	100
$t=0.10$	0.4700	0.2446	0.1632	0.0473	0.0289	25
	0.6242	0.3653	0.2611	0.0771	0.0356	50
	0.8054	0.6575	0.4432	0.1589	0.0632	100


Pacific Salmon Diversity

Species	Number of Major Diversity Groups				ESUs
	Ecology	Life History	Genetics	Total	
Pink	2	1	2	5	2
Chum	4	1	2	7	4
Sockeye	4	6	9	19	7
Coho	6	1	2	9	7
Chinook	11	7	10	28	17
Steelhead	11	7	7	25	15

Robin Waples, NMFS (NOAA Fisheries)

SPECIES	ESU	STATUS
Chinook salmon	Sacramento River Winter-run	E
	Snake River Fall-run	T
	Snake River Spring/Summer-run	T
	Central Valley Spring run	T
	California Coastal	T
	Puget Sound	T
	Lower Columbia River	T
	Upper Willamette River	T
	Upper Columbia River Spring-run	E
Chum salmon	Hood Canal Summer-run	T
	Columbia River	T
Coho salmon	Central California	T
	Southern OR/Northern CA Coasts	T
	Oregon Coasts	T
Sockeye salmon	Ozette Lake	T
	Snake River	E





Geographical and life history diversity

- 1 Species (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*)
- 2 Subspecies (*O. mykiss mykiss*) (coastal)
- 3 ESU (Oregon Coast)
- 4 Gene Conservation Group (Mid and North Coast)
- 5 Major River Basin (Umpqua River)
- 6 Major tributary (South Fork Umpqua River)
- 7 Life history form (Resident)
- 7 Life history form (Anadromous)
- 8 Life history form (Summer run)
- 8 Life history form (Winter run)

Waples (in press)



Anadromous rainbow trout (steelhead; SH)


Resident rainbow trout (RR)

Population Structure of Anadromous And Resident Rainbow Trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) in the Copper River, Alaska

Jeffrey B. Olsen, Doug Fleming, Klaus Wuttig, and John K. Wenburg

USFWS, Anchorage, Alaska







Otolith microchemistry analysis


Progeny	Mother		Population
	RR	SH	
RR	38	0	Deschutes River
SH	0	20	
RR	7	2	Babine River
SH	1	23	

Chris Zimmerman & Reeves (2000)



Samples analyzed at 10 microsatellite loci

		N	female	male
Arlee hatchery	RT			
Snatalovayam	SH	39	28	11
Sopochnaya	SH	19	15	4
Sopochnaya	RR	13	1	12



CONCLUSION: RR and SH are part of the same “reproductive unit”, and should be considered as part of the same DPS under the ESA.

This result is the opposite of the sockeye/kokanee situation.

Should a ESU in which only the anadromous life history is imperiled be listed under the ESA?

ESA

(20) The term “threatened species” means any species which is likely to become an endangered species within the foreseeable future throughout all or a significant portion of its range.

The loss of the anadromous life history form would result in the loss of rainbow trout from throughout a major portion of its range (the North Pacific Ocean).



November 4, 2005

Proposal to apply “Distinct Population Segment” Policy to 10 Pacific steelhead stocks

Background

In June 2004, NOAA Fisheries Service proposed listing 9 steelhead stocks in Washington, Idaho Oregon, and California as “threatened” (South-Central California, Central California Coast, California Central Valley, Northern California, Upper Willamette River, Lower Columbia River, Middle Columbia River, Snake River Basin, and Upper Columbia), and one in southern California as “endangered” under the Endangered Species Act (ESA). The proposed listings utilized the “Evolutionary Significant Unit” or “ESU” Policy; (56 FR 58612; November 20, 1991), originally proposed for Pacific salmon to define population groups of West Coast *O. mykiss*. NOAA Fisheries Service proposed that anadromous *O. mykiss* (steelhead) and resident, fresh water *O. mykiss* (rainbow trout) where they co-occur should be included as part of the same ESU. Under the ESU policy, the biological ESU is considered to be a “distinct population segment” (DPS) and thus a “species” under the ESA.

On June 7, 2005, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS) wrote to NOAA Fisheries Service, stating its concerns about the factual and legal bases for our proposed listing determinations for 10 *O. mykiss* ESUs, specifying issues of substantial disagreement regarding the relationship between anadromous and resident *O. mykiss*. On June 28, 2005, NOAA Fisheries Service published a notice in the *Federal Register* announcing the ESA statutory 6-month extension of the final listing determinations for the subject *O. mykiss* ESUs to resolve the substantial disagreement regarding the sufficiency or accuracy of the available data relevant to the determinations.

New Proposal

After considering information provided by the FWS and from several public comments, NOAA Fisheries Service has reconsidered its earlier proposal to apply the Pacific salmon ESU Policy to these steelhead and rainbow trout stocks and seek comment on the proposed application of the joint NOAA Fisheries Service and FWS “Policy Regarding the Recognition of Distinct Vertebrate Population Segments under the Endangered Species Act” (DPS Policy) in delineating *O. mykiss* DPSs for listing consideration. The joint DPS Policy adopts similar but slightly different criteria from the ESU Policy for determining when a group of organisms constitutes a DPS. Despite the apparent lack of substantial reproductive isolation between steelhead and rainbow trout within a given population or group of populations, under the DPS Policy these two life forms may not warrant delineation as part of the same DPS. Consequently, we are re-opening the comment period to consider whether the final rule should delineate ten steelhead-only DPSs.

The joint DPS Policy adopts similar but slightly different criteria from the ESU Policy for determining when a group of organisms constitutes a DPS. Despite the apparent lack of substantial reproductive isolation between steelhead and rainbow trout within a given population or group of populations, under the DPS Policy these two life forms may not warrant delineation as part of the same DPS. **Consequently, we are re-opening the comment period to consider whether the final rule should delineate ten steelhead only DPSs.**

Ruling that sympatric steelhead and resident rainbow trout are different DPS’s would mean that full-sibs (brothers & sisters) would be in separate DPSs.